

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,674	C	)2/06/2004	David Scott Nyce	7537 EXAMINER	
	7590	06/01/2006			
David S. Nyo			WEST, PAUL M		
2633 Whistling Quail Run Apex, NC 27502		Kun		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2856	
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- 1			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del> _			
		10/773,674	NYCE, DAVID SCOTT				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul M. West	2856				
Period fo	— The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·	•					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,12,13,17 and 18</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,6,7,17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •				
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
•		amilier. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOMIN PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed in this ivational Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	ed.				
·							
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does disclose a dielectric wall with a first and second thickness, but does not disclose or describe a specific or relative value of the first or second thicknesses. Furthermore, Figure 8 illustrates the first and second thicknesses being substantially the same value, which is contrary to what is claimed in claim 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larson.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,674 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

5. As to claim 1, Larson teaches a capacitive sensor for sensing the level of a fluid in a vessel, the sensor positioned proximate the vessel 11 and separated from the fluid by a dielectric wall of the vessel, the sensor comprising: two coplanar electrical conductors 12,13 attached to a substrate 11 and electrically insulated from one another, the conductors separated from one another by spacing, the conductors forming a fringing field capacitance, the value of the capacitance changing in response to changes in the level of the fluid (Col. 2, lines 11-16); the two conductors driven by an alternating current electrical signal, the value of the capacitance indicative of the level of the fluid (Col. 2, lines 15-22). Larson does not specifically point show that the spacing between the two conductors is at least two times the thickness of the dielectric wall, however it is clear from the Figure 1 that if the thickness of the wall of vessel 11 were greater than one half the spacing between the conductors, the vessel would little or no interior volume for the liquid in the tank to occupy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to construct the tank of Larson with a wall thickness that is less than half the distance of the spacing between the conductors in order to ensure there is adequate interior volume in the tank for the storage of liquid.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-4,6,7,17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claim 12 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,674

Art Unit: 2856

## Page 4

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul M. West whose telephone number is (571) 272-8590. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,674

Art Unit: 2856

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISOF ATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800